

Carpathian Expo Carpathian Convention COP7

Assessment of climate change risks and adaptation options
for Carpathian forest ecosystems and their services

UNEP Vienna Programme Office – Secretariat of the Carpathian Convention

Presented by Dr. William S. Keeton, University of Vermont



Photo by Stefan Rankovic

Carpathian Convention COP7
11-13 October 2023, Belgrade



eurac
research

MANDATE AND ASSESSMENT DEVELOPMENT

- Called for by Carpathian Convention Conference of the Parties at its 6th meeting (COP6, 2020)
- Included in the Implementation Framework 2030 accompanying the Long-term Vision towards combating climate change in the Carpathians
- The 8th meeting of the Carpathian Convention Working Group on Climate Change (6 May 2021), called for initiating the assessment at the Forum Carpaticum 2021
- Special Session and Workshop on Forest ecosystem vulnerabilities to climate change in the Carpathians held at Forum Carpaticum 2021
- Informal subgroup of the Working Group on Climate Change and the Working Group on Sustainable Forest Management. Experts nominated by the Focal Points of the Carpathian Convention.
- Survey of the Experts, supported by a review of previous assessments, interviews with leading research groups, and a literature review

ASSESSMENT - TOPICS

Key topics, impacts, and adaptation options derived by the survey and presented in the assessment include the following:

- Forest growth and productivity
- Biomass and Carbon Stocks
- Tree mortality
- Changes in species range, habitat shifts and abundance
- Invasion by non-native species
- Forest ecosystem services
- Forest – water interactions, including hydrologic regulation and riparian dynamics

FINDINGS – Key Risks Identified by Focal Point Experts

Top Ranked Concern:

Altered disturbance regimes

Second Ranked Concern:

Drought risks to forest resources and services

Tertiary Concerns:

Altered hydrologic regimes, flood risks, invasive species, and the need for restoration

Declines in forest growth and productivity

Altered species composition and distribution

Feedback mechanisms and effects on ecosystem services including carbon storage

Climate interactions with land-use pressures

ADAPTATION APPROACHES

- Synthesis of adaptation response options clustered into Factsheets for priority topics identified

EXAMPLE: TREE MORTALITY

| INCREASE RESILIENCE TO DISTURBANCE | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Characteristics | <p>Approaches to enhance resilience include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> → Enhancing and maintaining species, structural and genetic diversity by favoring existing genotypes that are better adapted to future conditions, incorporating genetic diversity from a greater range of population sources and including pest- or drought-resistant varieties where appropriate. → More intensive thinning practices and care of forest stand edges. → Promoting redundancy of ecological representation within core protected areas. Also "functional redundancy," which means having multiple species or ecological components that perform similar functions, providing compensatory capacity if one species declines or is adversely affected by climate change. This functional diversity ensures that multiple ecological processes and services are maintained, even if some species or functional groups are lost or impacted. → Establishing ecological corridors and maintaining landscape connectivity to facilitate the species' range shifts, dispersal and genetic interchange among populations, and continuation of ecological processes. Connected landscapes allow for the dispersal of species, enabling recolonization and gene flow following disturbances. Corridors can also help species adapt to shifting environmental conditions caused by climate change. |
| Main Impact/Risk addressed | Increasing soil moisture deficits and prolonged drought due to reduced precipitation and higher temperatures likely in some areas. |
| Intended effects | <p>Enhanced diversity in forests exhibits a higher variability in resistance to pests, drought and access heat.</p> <p>Reducing stand densities, for instance in intensively managed coniferous forests, will lower competition and thus the probability of drought-related tree mortality.</p> <p>Enhanced complexity and diversity of patch mosaics (e.g., different types and ages of vegetative communities) across the landscape helps limit contagion and spread of insects and plant diseases.</p> |
| Pros and cons (if any) | N/A depending on approaches to increase resilience |

EXAMPLE: INVASION BY NON-NATIVE SPECIES

| MANAGEMENT PRACTICES TO MAINTAIN OR IMPROVE THE ABILITY OF FORESTS TO RESIST PESTS AND PATHOGENS | |
|--|--|
| Characteristics | <p>Forest management practices that manipulate the density, structure, or species composition of a forest may reduce susceptibility to some pests and pathogens, inter alia:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> → Thinning to reduce the density of a pest's host species in order to discourage infestation, based on the knowledge that species are especially susceptible to pests and pathogens at particular stocking levels. → Adjusting rotation length to decrease the period of time that a stand is vulnerable to insect pests and pathogens, based on the knowledge that species are especially susceptible to pests and pathogens at particular ages. → Creating a diverse mix of forest or community types, age classes, and stand structures to reduce the availability of host species for pests and pathogens. → Managing canopy conditions depending on types of invasive species, e.g., maintaining closed canopy conditions to reduce the ability of light-loving invasive species to enter the understory or keeping canopy more open to reduce spreading of species (e.g., <i>Pinus strobus</i>) or pathogens that prefer conditions of shade, less wind, and higher humidity. → Using biological control methods to manage pest populations in heavily infested areas. → Restricting harvest and transportation of logs near stands already heavily infested with known pests or pathogens. → Using impact models and monitoring data to anticipate the arrival of pests and pathogens and prioritize management actions. |
| Main Impact/Risk addressed | Invasion by non-native (alien) species may result in biome shifts, with consequent changes in the spectrum of forest ecosystem services provided. |
| Intended effects | Improved non-native species management with dedicated measures for prevention, early detection, control management, including rapid response and rehabilitation and restoration. |
| Pros and cons (if any) | N/A depending on management practices |

Please stop by the
booth for more
information.

Thank you!

UNEP Vienna Programme Office
Secretariat of the Carpathian Convention

www.carpathianconvention.org

<https://www.unep.org/>